UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,112	10/21/2005	Yosuhiro Osame	279831US90X PCT	9429
	7590 01/24/200 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

<u></u>	
)_ A	
m	
"	

					,		
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antion Commence		10/554,	112	OSAME, YOSU	OSAME, YOSUHIRO		
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit	Art Unit		
		Edward		3725			
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu ply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	address		
WHICHEN  - Extensions after SIX (6  - If NO perior  - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM	MAILING DATE OF one of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not imunication, statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	ICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	led on 11-12-2007					
•—	s action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final				
<i>′</i> —		<i>′</i> —		tters prosecution as to t	he merits is		
<i>,</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Olos	ed in accordance with the plac	tice under Ex parte (	<b>z</b> udyle, 1000 0.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.			
Disposition o	of Claims						
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) (	Of the above claim(s) <u>10-29</u> is/a	are withdrawn from c	onsideration.				
5)∏ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected	<b>i</b> .					
7)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)∏ Clai	m(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election	requirement.				
Application F	Papers						
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.					
·	drawing(s) filed on 21 October		ccepted or b)	objected to by the Exam	niner.		
	licant may not request that any obj		•	•			
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including	<del>-</del> ·	-				
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form	PTO-152.		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ Al 1.⊠ 2.⊑ · 3.⊑	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in ments have bee tule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this Nation	al Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of F 2) Notice of [ 3) Information	he attached detailed Office acti  References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948)	4)  Interview Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			

10/554,112 Art Unit: 3725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I claims 1-9 on 11-12-2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 10-29 have been withdrawn from consideration as inventions non-elected without traverse.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2 and 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugio (JP 56-165512) in view of Litwinski et al. (6,726,085) and further in view of Tanaka et al. (6,892,928). Sugio discloses a tubular metal body (11) extruded through a porthole die (14) wherein a plurality of components are welded together in a pressure chamber (17) and joined by joints (13). Sugio does not disclose a surface modifying treatment. Litwinski teaches (column 6, lines 3-16 and column 10, lines 50-53) that it is known to use a rotating pin (28) to modify and refine grain structure during an extrusion or shaping process. Litwinski teaches (column 11, lines 7-11) that the modifying results in a product that resists cracks and has improved strength and fatigue resistance. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use a grain refinement means as taught by Litwinski in the invention of Sugio in order to increase the strength of the tubular body and avoid cracking.

10/554,112

Art Unit: 3725

Litwinski in view of Sugio does not disclose applying the probe at only the joint.

Tanaka teaches that it is known to use a probe (14) to weld a joint line (6) to form a tube (4). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to apply friction stir welding to the joints of Sugio in view of Litwinki as taught by Tanaka in order to create a strong bond in the tube.

Friction stir welding is known by the skilled artisan to produce joints between components that are strong.

Claims 3-5,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugio in view of Litwinski and Tanaka and further in view of Aota et al. (6,581,819). Sugio in view of Litwinski and Tanaka does not disclose partitions. Aota teaches extruded panels (31,32) with partitions (35) that are welded at a joint (45) by a probe (52). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide the tube of Sugio in view of Litwinski and Tanaka with partitions as taught by Aota in order to increase a structural integrity of the tube.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not disclose that the modifying treatment by frictional agitation in the joint portions is performed immediately after extrusion as the base material is extruded from an extruder.

Page 4

10/554,112 Art Unit: 3725

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

EDTOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER